

# *ZmMADS69* functions as a flowering activator through the *ZmRap2.7-ZCN8* regulatory module and contributes to maize flowering time adaptation

Yameng Liang<sup>1</sup>\* (D), Qiang Liu<sup>2</sup>\* (D), Xufeng Wang<sup>1,3</sup>\* (D), Cheng Huang<sup>1,4</sup>, Guanghui Xu<sup>1</sup> (D), Stefan Hey<sup>2</sup> (D), Hung-Ying Lin<sup>2</sup> (D), Cong Li<sup>1</sup>, Dingyi Xu<sup>1</sup>, Lishuan Wu<sup>1</sup>, Chenglong Wang<sup>1</sup>, Weihao Wu<sup>1</sup>, Jinliang Xia<sup>1</sup>, Xu Han<sup>1</sup> (D), Sijia Lu<sup>5</sup>, Jinsheng Lai<sup>1</sup>, Weibin Song<sup>1</sup>, Patrick S. Schnable<sup>2,6</sup> (D) and Feng Tian<sup>1</sup> (D)

<sup>1</sup>National Maize Improvement Center of China, Key Laboratory of Biology and Genetic Improvement of Maize (MOA), Beijing Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China; <sup>2</sup>Department of Agronomy, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50010-3650, USA; <sup>3</sup>Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory for Plant Epigenetics, College of Life Sciences and Oceanography, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China; <sup>4</sup>College of Agronomy, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha 410128, China; <sup>5</sup>School of Life Sciences, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China; <sup>6</sup>Department of Plant Genetics & Breeding, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China

Summary

• Flowering time is a major determinant of the local adaptation of plants. Although numerous loci affecting flowering time have been mapped in maize, their underlying molecular mechanisms and roles in adaptation remain largely unknown.

• Here, we report the identification and characterization of MADS-box transcription factor *ZmMADS69* that functions as a flowering activator through the *ZmRap2.7-ZCN8* regulatory module and contributes to adaptation. We show that *ZmMADS69* underlies a quantitative trait locus controlling the difference in flowering time between maize and its wild ancestor, teosinte. Maize *ZmMADS69* allele is expressed at a higher level at floral transition and confers earlier flowering than the teosinte allele under long days and short days. Overexpression of *ZmMADS69* causes early flowering, while a transposon insertion mutant of *ZmMADS69* exhibits delayed flowering. *ZmMADS69* shows pleiotropic effects for multiple traits of agronomic importance.

• *ZmMADS69* functions upstream of the flowering repressor *ZmRap2.7* to downregulate its expression, thereby relieving the repression of the florigen gene *ZCN8* and causing early flowering. Population genetic analyses showed that *ZmMADS69* was a target of selection and may have played an important role as maize spread from the tropics to temperate zones.

• Our findings provide important insights into the regulation and adaptation of flowering time.

Authors for correspondence: Feng Tian Tel: +86 010 62734660 Email: ft55@cau.edu.cn

Patrick S. Schnable Tel: +1 515 294 0975 Email: schnable@iastate.edu

Weibin Song Tel: +86 010 627314641 Email: songwb@cau.edu.cn

Received: 9 August 2018 Accepted: 14 September 2018

*New Phytologist* (2019) **221:** 2335–2347 **doi**: 10.1111/nph.15512

**Key words:** adaptation, flowering time, maize, teosinte, *ZCN8*, *ZmMADS69*, *ZmRap2.7*.

#### Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* ssp. *mays*) was domesticated in southwestern Mexico *c.* 9000 yr ago from its wild progenitor, teosinte (*Zea mays* ssp. *parviglumis*) (Matsuoka *et al.*, 2002). During domestication, maize underwent a dramatic transformation in plant and inflorescence architecture relative to teosinte (Doebley, 2004). In addition to the dramatic morphological differences, maize and teosinte also differ substantially in geographical distribution and local adaptation. Teosinte was restricted to tropical environments in Mexico and Central America, while maize spread over 90° of latitude and has become one of the world's most widely cultivated crops (Hung *et al.*, 2012; Huang *et al.*, 2018), which was made possible mainly via the adaptation of flowering time to local environments.

Most knowledge on factors controlling flowering time was gained from research in *Arabidopsis* and rice (Shrestha *et al.*, 2014; Blümel *et al.*, 2015). By contrast, the understanding of the genetic controls of flowering time in maize is limited. Several key genes affecting maize flowering have been cloned through mutant analysis and comparative genomics (Dong *et al.*, 2012). *INDETERMINATE1* (*ID1*) encodes a monocot-specific zinc finger transcription factor that functions through the autonomous pathway to promote flowering (Colasanti *et al.*, 1998). *DELAYED FLOWERING1* (*DLF1*) is another key gene that is required for the floral transition in maize and functions downstream of *ID1* (Muszynski *et al.*, 2006). *DLF1* encodes a bZIP

<sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

protein (Muszynski et al., 2006) that is homologous to FLOWERING LOCUS D (FD) of Arabidopsis (Abe et al., 2005; Wigge et al., 2005). The identification of the most likely maize florigen gene ZEA CENTRORADIALIS 8 (ZCN8) among multiple maize FT-like genes is a breakthrough in understanding flowering time regulation in maize (Lazakis et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2011). ZCN8 is transcribed and translated in the leaf vasculature and then moves through the phloem to the shoot apical meristem (SAM) where it interacts with DLF1 protein to activate downstream floral organ identity genes (Lazakis et al., 2011; Meng et al., 2011). ZmMADS1 is an ortholog of the central flowering integrator SUPPRESSOR OF OVEREXPRESSION OF CONSTANS1 (SOC1) of Arabidopsis and functions as a flowering activator in maize (Alter et al., 2016). ZEA MAYS MADS4 (ZMM4) is a floral meristem identity gene that involves both floral induction and inflorescence development (Danilevskaya et al., 2008).

Maize exhibits tremendous natural diversity in flowering time (Kuleshov, 1933; Buckler et al., 2009). To identify genetic factors controlling the natural variation in flowering time, extensive mapping studies have been conducted using various mapping populations (Austin & Lee, 1996; Ribaut et al., 1996; Salvi et al., 2002; Chardon et al., 2004; Briggs et al., 2007; Buckler et al., 2009; Coles et al., 2010; Hung et al., 2012; Steinhoff et al., 2012; Romay et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013; X. Y. Li et al., 2016; Romero Navarro et al., 2017; Jin et al., 2018). The results showed that flowering time in maize is controlled by a complex genetic architecture, with numerous small-effect quantitative trait loci (QTLs) involved (Buckler et al., 2009; X. Y. Li et al., 2016). Due to this complexity, to date, only a few flowering time QTLs have been cloned. Vegetative to generative transition 1 (Vgt1) is the first cloned flowering time QTL in maize, which has been resolved to an c. 2 kb noncoding region that acts as a cisregulatory element of ZmRap2.7, an AP2 transcription factor located c. 70 kb downstream (Salvi et al., 2007). ZmCCT9 (Huang et al., 2018) and ZmCCT10 (Ducrocq et al., 2009; Hung et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2013) are two recently cloned CCT domain-containing proteins that confer long day-dependent flowering repression by negatively regulating the expression of ZCN8. Interestingly, two transposon insertions were identified in the upstream regulatory region of ZmCCT9 and ZmCCT10 and act in cis to repress the expression of ZmCCT9 and ZmCCT10 and, as a result, reduce photoperiod response under long-day conditions (Yang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018). These three loci are known to have played important roles during the adaptation of maize to higher latitudes (Ducrocq et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2018).

In the present study, we report the identification and characterization of a flowering time QTL qDTA3-2 that regulates the difference in flowering time between maize and teosinte. Through fine mapping, we resolved qDTA3-2 to a MADSbox transcription factor, ZmMADS69. A transposon insertion mutant of ZmMADS69 with reduced expression exhibits delayed flowering, while overexpression of ZmMADS69 promotes flowering. We demonstrate that ZmMADS69 functions as a constitutive flowering activator though the ZmRap2.7ZCN8 regulatory module. Population genetic analysis revealed that ZmMADS69 was targeted by selection and might have played an important role in maize adaptation to temperate regions.

#### Materials and Methods

#### Materials

A large population of 866 maize-teosinte BC2S3 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from a cross between W22, a typical temperate maize (Zea mays ssp. mays) inbred line, and CIMMYT accession 8759, a typical accession of teosinte (Zea mays ssp. parviglumis, hereafter referred to as 8759) was obtained from the Maize Genetics Cooperation Stock Center (Maize COOP). Detailed information regarding this  $BC_2S_3$ RIL population has previously been reported (Hung et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2016, 2018; D. Li et al., 2016; D. Y. Xu et al., 2017; G. Xu et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018). From a heterogeneous inbred family (HIF) that was heterozygous only at *qDTA3-2*, we developed two near-isogenic lines (NILs), one homozygous for W22 and one homozygous for 8759 across the qDTA3-2 region, designated NIL(W22) and NIL(8759), respectively. NIL(W22) and NIL(8759) were planted in Tieling (42.1°N, 123.6°E), Liaoning province, China, during the summer of 2015 (the planting date was 8 May 2015) and in Sanya (18.4°N, 109.2°E), Hainan province, China, during the winter of 2015 (the planting date was 3 November 2015) to compare the difference in flowering time. NIL(W22) and NIL (8759) were scored for days to anthesis (DTA) and several other important agronomic traits (total leaf number, leaf number above the primary ear, leaf number below the primary ear, ear height, plant height, leaf width, leaf length, stem diameter, tassel branch length, tassel branch number, ear length and ear diameter).

#### Fine mapping of *qDTA3-2*

The fine-mapping strategy was the same as that employed in previous studies (Hung et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2016, 2018; D. Li et al., 2016; D. Y. Xu et al., 2017; G. Xu et al., 2017). Two large F<sub>2</sub> populations derived from the HIF for *qDTA3*-2 were successively used to identify recombinants to fine map *qDTA3-2.* A large  $F_2$  population (n=3955) was first planted in Tieling (42.1°N, 123.6°E), Liaoning province, China, during the summer of 2015 (the planting date was 8 May 2015). Recombinants were identified from the F<sub>2</sub> population using markers FM156440 and FM160558 that flank the support interval of qDTA3-2. To determine a general region of qDTA3-2, 20 recombinants were randomly selected (Supporting information Table S1) and their F3 families were planted in Sanya (18.4°N, 109.2°E), Hainan province, China, during the winter of 2015 (the planting date was 3 November 2015). New markers were developed to further determine the recombination breakpoints of the F2 recombinants. Within each recombinant-derived F3 family, homozygous recombinant

(HR) and homozygous nonrecombinant (HNR) plants were identified using markers. The differences in flowering time between the HR and HNR plants within each family were determined using Student's t-test with the Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. If the HR and HNR plants exhibited a significant difference in flowering time, the parental  $F_2$  recombinant was heterozygous for *qDTA3-2*; otherwise, the recombinant was homozygous for either parent. By integrating the QTL location information from all recombinants, qDTA3-2 was initially delimited to a 476-kb physical region between markers FM158945 and FM159421. To further narrow down the region of qDTA3-2, another F2 population (n=3266) derived from the HIF was planted in Tieling (42.1°N, 123.6°E) during the summer of 2016 (the planting date was 6 May 2016). Recombinants between FM158945 and FM159421 were identified from the F2 population (Table S2) and their F3 families were planted in Sanya (18.4°N, 109.2°E) during the winter of 2016 (the planting date was 4 November 2016). qDTA3-2 was finally localized to a 192-kb physical region between markers FM159020 and FM159212. The sequences of the markers used for fine mapping are listed in Table S3.

### Expression analysis

To examine the spatiotemporal expression patterns of ZmMADS69, NIL(W22) and NIL(8759) were grown in Beijing (40.1°N, 116.2°E), China, during the summer of 2017 (the planting date was 11 May 2017). Various plant tissues, including root, stem, leaf sheath, mature leaves, immature leaves, SAM, developing tassel and ear, were collected from the two NILs at different development stages, with five biological replicates in each case. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen), treated with RNase-free DNase I (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and purified using the RNAclean Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China). The RNA samples were quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and 2 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed using a random primer and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed on an ABI 7500 instrument (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA, USA) using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq II kit (Takara). The comparative  $C_T$  (2<sup>- $\Delta CT$ </sup>) method (Schmittgen & Livak, 2008) was used to quantify the ZmMADS69 relative expression level. ZmTubulin1 (GRMZM2G152466) was used as reference gene. The expression levels of ZmRap2.7 and ZCN8 were examined in the mature leaves of NIL(W22) and NIL(8759) collected at the floral transition stage. The transition from vegetative stage to flowering was judged by the extent of apex elongation and the appearance of branch meristems on the flanks of the SAM marking an early reproductive stage (Irish & Nelson, 1991). To validate the regulatory relationships among ZmMADS69, ZmRap2.7 and ZCN8, a pair of NILs for ZCN8 we previously developed were used, one homozygous for W22 and one homozygous for 8759 across the ZCN8 region (Guo et al., 2018). Mature leaves of NILs for

ZCN8 were harvested at the floral transition stage and the expression levels of ZmMADS69 and ZmRap2.7 were examined. The relevant primers used in qRT-PCR are listed in Table S3.

## Subcellular localization

For subcellular localization in Nicotiana benthamiana leaf epidermal cells, the coding sequence of ZmMADS69 without a stop codon was amplified from W22 cDNA and inserted into the pCUNm-eGFP plasmid to generate the Ubi:: ZmMADS69-eGFP fusion protein. Agrobacterium tumefaciens containing the plasmid was resuspended in infiltration buffer and infiltrated into 5-wk-old leaves of N. benthamiana. After infiltration, plants were placed at 24°C for 60 h. To further verify the subcellular localization, the construct was also transformed into maize leaf protoplasts using polyethylene glycol (PEG)-mediated transformation as previously described (Yoo et al., 2007). Mesophyll protoplasts were isolated from the leaves of 12-d-old etiolated B73 seedlings following a previously described method (Yoo et al., 2007). The protoplasts were cultured at 25°C in the dark for 18 h, and GFP fluorescence was observed via confocal microscopy using a Zeiss 710 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

# Transgenic functional validation

The coding sequence of ZmMADS69 was amplified from W22 cDNA and cloned into the binary vector pCUNm-eGFP under control of the Ubiquitin promoter. This construct was introduced into the receptor line B73 via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (Ishida et al., 2007). Three independent T0 transgenic plants (OE#1, OE#2 and OE#3) were self-pollinated to generate progenies for phenotypic testing. T1 families from these three T0 plants were planted in Sanya (18.4°N, 109.2°E) during the winter of 2017 (the planting date was 8 November 2017). Transgene-positive and transgene-negative plants were identified in T1 families using transgene-specific primers (Table S3). Mature leaves of T1 plants were collected at the floral transition stage for the expression analysis of ZmMADS69, ZmRap2.7 and ZCN8 via qRT-PCR. Due to the limited number of T2 seeds of OE#3, it was only possible to plant the OE#1 and OE#2 T2 families in a greenhouse (14 h:10 h, light:dark) to examine flowering time. The T1 and T2 families were scored for DTA, leaf number, ear height, plant height, leaf length, leaf width, stem diameter, tassel branch number, tassel branch length, ear length and ear diameter. Phenotypic differences between transgene-positive and transgene-negative plants were determined using Student's t-test.

### Mutant functional validation

The position of the Mu insertion in ZmMADS69 (UFMu-01868) was confirmed using a combination of primers designed to anneal to the Mu-TIR sequence and genic sequences near the putative Mu insertion. Homozygous Mu insertion mutants were

crossed to the wildtype W22 and the resulting heterozygous progeny were backcrossed to a plant homozygous for the Mu insertion to generate 1:1 segregating families. Segregating families were planted near Ames (42.0°N, 93.8°W) and Boone (42.0°N, 93.7°W), Iowa, during the summer of 2017 (the planting dates were 9 May 2017 and 26 May 2017, respectively) and were scored for DTA and ear height. Phenotypic differences were tested using Student's t-test. Mature leaves of ZmMADS69 homozygous mutant and heterozygous siblings were sampled at the floral transition stage with three biological replicates in each case. RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plant mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's manual. The comparative  $C_{\rm T}$  (2<sup>- $\Delta$ CT</sup>) method (Schmittgen & Livak, 2008) was used to quantify gene relative expression level. ZmTubulin1 (GRMZM2G152466) was used as reference gene.

# Generation and analysis of CRISPR/Cas9 knockout lines of *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8*

Two 20-bp target sequences in the coding regions of ZmRap2.7 and ZCN8 were selected for Cas9 cleavage. The CRISPR/Cas9 knockout vector was constructed according to previously described protocol (Xing et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2018). The resulting vector was confirmed by sequencing and introduced into the receptor line B73 via Agrobacterium tumefaciensmediated transformation (Ishida et al., 2007). Sequencing analysis of ZmRap2.7 and ZCN8 T0 transgenic plants identified one transgenic line carrying homozygous deletions at the target sites that resulted in frame shift of the ZmRap2.7 ORF and two transgenic lines carrying homozygous deletions at the target sites that resulted in the loss of the PEBP domain of ZCN8 protein. T1 progenies of ZmRap2.7 and ZCN8 T0 transgenic plants were planted under long days and scored for flowering time. Mature leaves of T1 and wildtype plants (nontransgene plants) were sampled at the floral transition stage with four biological replicates in each case for gene expression analysis.

#### RNA sequencing and differential gene expression analysis

The ZmMADS69 transgenic line OE#2 was used for RNA sequencing. In the T1 family derived from OE#2, three transgene-positive and three transgene-negative plants were randomly selected, and the mature leaves were collected at the floral transition stage. Total RNA extraction and purification were performed as described above. Total RNA quality was verified using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). mRNA libraries were prepared from c. 5 µg of total RNA and sequenced using a HiSeq 150-bp paired-end Illumina RNA-seq protocol. Sequencing reads (accession number PRJNA491787) were first processed with Trimmomatic (Bolger et al., 2014) to remove low-quality bases at the 5' and 3' ends (q < 20), and reads > 75 bp were kept for subsequent analysis. The high-quality reads were then aligned to the B73 reference sequence v3 (AGPv3) (Schnable et al., 2009) using HISAT2 (Pertea et al., 2016), with a minimum intron size of 60 bp and a maximum intron size of 50 000 bp. Only uniquely mapped reads were kept for subsequent gene expression quantification using HTSeq-count (Anders et al., 2015), with the intersection-strict option. To evaluate the repeatability of biological replicates, hierarchical clustering and multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis were performed using R function HCLUST and ISOMDS (https:// www.r-project.org/), respectively. Differential gene expression analysis between transgene-positive and transgene-negative plants was performed using the EDGER package (Robinson et al., 2010), which employs a robust negative binominal distribution to account for the biological variation and dispersion from all genes (Rapaport et al., 2013). The P-value of differential expression was determined using the exact test and was corrected with Benjamin and Hochberg's algorithm (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995). Expression was considered to be significantly different at a threshold of false discovery rate  $(FDR) \le 0.01$  and fold change  $\geq 2$ .

#### Nucleotide diversity analysis and selection test

The third-generation Zea mays haplotype map (HapMap 3) data was downloaded from https://www.panzea.org/ (Bukowski et al., 2018) and was used to examine the nucleotide diversity along the 192-kb causative region for *qDTA3-2* in maize and teosinte. The R package POPGENOME (Pfeifer et al., 2014) was used for population genomic analysis. Sliding windows (window size = 1000 bp, step size = 100 bp) across the 192-kb causative region were generated using the function sliding.window.transform. Nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$  in each sliding window was calculated for maize and teosinte using the function diversity.stats. At the same time, we resequenced seven fragments (accession numbers MH937291-MH937329 and MH988461-MH988687) from the ZmMADS69 gene amplified from a diverse panel of 28 maize inbred lines and 17 teosinte accessions (Zea mays ssp. parviglumis) (Table S4). Because the first intron of ZmMADS69 is 23.5-kb long, two fragments were selected from this intron for sequencing. The 28 diverse maize inbred lines include the 26 founder lines of the maize NAM population, the Mo17 founder of the  $B73 \times Mo17$ (IBM) population and the W22 parent of our maize-teosinte BC<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> population and the genetic background of our Mu insertion allele (Table S4). Sequencing reactions were performed in both directions. Multiple sequence alignments were performed using BIOEDIT v.7.1.3.0 (Hall, 1999) and manually edited when necessary. Nucleotide diversity was calculated using DNASP v.5.10.00 (Librado & Rozas, 2009). Insertions and deletions were not included in the analysis. The retention of the nucleotide diversity, which is the relative ratio of  $\pi$  in maize to  $\pi$  in teosinte, was calculated in each sequenced region. Following a previously described procedure (Tian et al., 2009; G. Xu et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2018), coalescent simulations incorporating the domestication bottleneck (Eyre-Walker et al., 1998; Tenaillon et al., 2004) were performed to evaluate whether the observed loss of nucleotide diversity in maize relative to that in teosinte could be explained by a domestication bottleneck alone using Hudson's MS program (Hudson, 2002). All parameters in the model were assigned to previously established values (Wright et al., 2005;

Tian *et al.*, 2009; G. Xu *et al.*, 2017; Huang *et al.*, 2018). The population mutation and population recombination parameters were estimated from the teosinte sequences. In total, 10 000 coalescent simulations were performed.

# **Results and Discussion**

# *qDTA3-2* regulates the difference in flowering time between maize and teosinte

To identify genetic factors controlling the difference in flowering time between maize and teosinte, we previously performed QTL mapping for DTA in the 866 maize-teosinte BC<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> RIL population (D. Li et al., 2016). Among the mapped loci, a significant flowering time QTL, qDTA3-2, was detected between markers M16870 and M16969 on chromosome 3 (Figs 1a, 2a). The lines homozygous for the teosinte allele at *qDTA3-2* flowered *c*. 2.5 d later than the lines homozygous for the maize allele under natural long days (Beijing, 40.1°N, 116.2°E) (Fig. 1b). Interestingly, previous genetic mapping studies using different populations also detected significant flowering time QTLs at similar regions (Buckler et al., 2009; Salvi et al., 2011), indicating the importance of the region around qDTA3-2 in regulating the natural variation in flowering time in maize. However, at the mapping resolutions of these QTL studies, it is difficult to tell whether these detected QTLs reflect the action of a single causative gene or alternatively several closely linked QTLs, with each having causative polymorphisms in different genes.

To further evaluate the phenotypic effects of *qDTA3-2*, we planted the two NILs for *qDTA3-2*, NIL(W22) and NIL(8759), under natural long days (Tieling, 42.1°N, 123.6°E) and short days (Sanya, 18.4°N, 109.2°E) and compared their phenotypic

differences. Under both long days and short days, the two NILs exhibited a similar flowering time difference, with NIL(W22) flowering c. 2 d earlier than NIL(8759) (Fig. 1c). These results indicate that qDTA3-2 is insensitive to differences in day length. In addition to flowering time, NIL(W22) and NIL(8759) also exhibited significant differences in plant height, ear height, leaf number, leaf length, tassel branch number, tassel branch length, ear length and ear diameter (Figs 1d, S1), suggesting that qDTA3-2 might be pleiotropic for many traits of agronomic importance.

## Fine mapping of *qDTA3-2*

To identify the gene underlying *qDTA3-2*, we performed fine mapping following a previously described method (Hung et al., 2012; D. Li et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2018). A large F<sub>2</sub> population (n=3955) was generated by self-pollinating the HIF for qDTA3-2, and recombinants were identified from the F<sub>2</sub> population using markers FM156440 and FM160558 that flank the target region. To determine the general region in which *qDTA3-2* resides, 20 F<sub>2</sub> recombinants were randomly selected, and their F<sub>3</sub> families were planted in the field for genotypic and phenotypic analyses (Table S1). Within each recombinantderived F<sub>3</sub> family, HRs and HNRs were identified using markers, and their differences in flowering time were compared to determine the genotype of qDTA3-2 in the parental recombinant. By integrating the QTL location information from all recombinants, *qDTA3-2* was delimited to a 476-kb physical region between markers FM158945 and FM159421 (Fig. 2a; Table S1). To further fine map qDTA3-2, another F<sub>2</sub> population (n=3266) was generated, and the recombinants between markers FM158945 and FM159421 were identified. In total,



**Fig. 1** *qDTA3-2* regulates maize flowering. (a) Logarithm of odds (LOD) plots of flowering time quantitative trait loci (QTL), *qDTA3-2*. The black horizontal line represents the P < 0.05. LOD significance threshold as determined by a permutation test. (b) Phenotypic differences in days to anthesis (DTA) under natural long-day (LD) conditions (Beijing, 40.1°N, 116.2°E) between recombinant inbred lines (RILs) from the maize-teosinte BC<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> RIL population carrying contrasting alleles of *qDTA3-2*. The numbers below the boxplots indicate the numbers of RILs carrying W22 and 8759 alleles. (c) Phenotypic differences in DTA under natural LD and short-day (SD) conditions between NIL(W22) and NIL(8759) for *qDTA3-2*. Reported values represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; *P*-values were determined using Student's *t*-test: \*\*, *P* < 0.01. The numbers on the bars indicate the numbers of plants scored in each near-isogenic line (NIL). (d) Gross morphologies of NIL(W22) and NIL(8759). The seventh leaf on each plant is indicated by a white arrow.



**Fig. 2** Fine mapping of qDTA3-2. (a) Chromosomal location and fine mapping of flowering time quantitative trait loci (QTL), qDTA3-2. Two large  $F_2$  populations were used to identify recombinants for fine mapping of qDTA3-2. The numbers of recombinants between adjacent markers used for fine mapping are indicated below the linkage map. Progeny testing of recombinants finally narrowed the qDTA3-2 locus to a 192-kb physical region between markers FM159020 and FM159212. The lower panel shows the graphical genotypes of five representative recombinants. White and black boxes indicate chromosomal regions homozygous for the maize and teosinte haplotypes, respectively. Gray boxes represent heterozygous chromosomal regions. The bar graphs on the right compare the days to anthesis (DTA) between homozygous recombinants (HR) and homozygous nonrecombinants (HNR) within each recombinant-derived  $F_3$  family (see the Materials and Methods section). Reported values represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. *P*-values were determined using Student's *t*-test. CEN, centromere. The red box in the 192-kb fine-mapping region indicates the *ZmMADS69* gene, with the arrow showing the direction of transcription. (b) Gene structure of *ZmMADS69*. Gray boxes represent the 5' and 3' UTRs, red boxes represent coding sequence region, while black lines represent introns. ATG and TGA indicate the start and stop codons, respectively.

19 recombinant-derived  $F_3$  families (Table S2) were used to further narrow down the region of *qDTA3-2*. *qDTA3-2* was finally localized to a 192-kb physical region between markers FM159020 and FM159212 (Fig. 2a; Table S2).

According to the B73 reference genome (AGPv3), the 192kb region contains 400-bp of GRMZM2G171622 and one intact gene, GRMZM2G171650 (Fig. 2b). GRMZM2G17-1622 encodes a CBS domain-containing protein. Studies in *Arabidopsis* and rice have shown that CBS domain-containing proteins were involved in biotic or abiotic stress response (Kushwaha *et al.*, 2009; Singh *et al.*, 2012; Mou *et al.*, 2015; Kumar *et al.*, 2018). GRMZM2G171650 encodes a MADSbox transcription factor designated *ZmMADS69* (which has also been termed *zmm22*) in the MaizeGDB database (https:// www.maizegdb.org/). MADS-box transcription factors are known to play important roles in plant inflorescence and flower development (Smaczniak *et al.*, 2012). Previously, we used eRD-GWAS, which employs gene expression levels, rather than SNPs as explanatory variables in GWAS to identify 26 genes, including *ZmMADS69* that are associated with DTA (Lin *et al.*, 2017). Hence, *ZmMADS69* was the most likely candidate gene underlying *qDTA3-2*.

#### ZmMADS69 underlies qDTA3-2

ZmMADS69 contains five exons and encodes a 166-amino-acid protein (Figs 2b, S2). Interestingly, a comparison of the ZmMADS69 coding sequence (CDS) between W22 and 8759 revealed no sequence variants in the protein-coding region (Fig. S2), suggesting that ZmMADS69 might exhibit expression differences between the parental alleles. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the spatiotemporal expression patterns of

ZmMADS69 in NIL(W22) and NIL(8759). ZmMADS69 was broadly expressed in various tissues including root, stem, leaf sheath, mature leaf, immature leaf, SAM, developing tassel and ear (Fig. 3a). ZmMADS69 exhibited higher expression in the early-flowering NIL(W22) than in the late-flowering NIL(8759) in all examined tissues, with the maximum expression observed in mature leaves sampled at the floral transition stage (Fig. 3a). To further determine whether the difference in the expression of ZmMADS69 detected between the parents of the maize-teosinte population is common in maize natural populations, we analyzed the recently published transcriptome data of the leaf tissues of 265 diverse maize inbred lines (Kremling et al., 2018). Consistent with the results from NILs and the results from our previously reported eRD-GWAS, increased expression of ZmMADS69 was significantly associated with earlier flowering in natural populations (Fig. S3). A similar observation has been reported by Hirsch et al. (2014) who used another association panel of 503 diverse inbred lines. These results strongly suggested that regulatory variation in ZmMADS69 might contribute to natural variation in flowering time in maize. We are using larger segregating populations to further delimit the region of *qDTA3-2* to identify the causative variant(s).

We used two independent approaches to test the hypothesis that ZmMADS69 regulates flowering time. First, we overexpressed ZmMADS69 under the control of the Ubiquitin promoter. This promoter was selected because ZmMADS69 is broadly expressed in various tissues (Fig. 3a). Second, we analyzed a Mu transposon insertion mutant (UFMu-01868) of ZmMADS69 isolated from the UniformMu reverse genetics resource (McCarty et al., 2005; Settles et al., 2007). Three independent T0 transgenic lines (OE#1, OE#2 and OE#3) carrying the overexpression construct were obtained. T1 families from OE#1, OE#2 and OE#3 were planted under natural short days (Sanya, 18.4°N, 109.2°E) to investigate flowering time. The results showed that transgene-positive plants with higher ZmMADS69 expression flowered 5-8 d earlier than transgenenegative plants in the three T1 families (Fig. 4a-c). We further planted T2 families of ZmMADS69 overexpressed lines in a greenhouse under long-day conditions where we observed that ZmMADS69 overexpressed lines flowered significantly earlier than wildtype controls (Fig. S4). These results, together with the phenotypic analyses of NILs for *qDTA3-2* under long days and short days, consistently indicated that ZmMADS69 is a constitutive flowering activator that is insensitive to differences in day length. In addition to flowering time, ZmMADS69 overexpressed plants also exhibited significant phenotypic effects in many other important agronomic traits, including reduced plant height, ear height, leaf number, stem diameter, tassel and ear size as compared with wild type (Figs S4, S5). These phenotypic effects were consistent with phenotypic analyses in the two NILs for qDTA3-2.

In parallel, using PCR and sequencing, we confirmed that an allele isolated from the UniformMu collection contains a Mu transposon insertion in the first intron of ZmMADS69 (UFMu-01868), which downregulated the expression of ZmMADS69 (Fig. 4d,e). DTA was measured within a population segregating



**Fig. 3** Expression patterns and subcellular localization of *ZmMADS69*. (a) The expression patterns of *ZmMADS69* in NIL(W22) and NIL(8759) across tissues/organs. Reported values represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; *P*-values were determined using Student's *t*-test: \*\*, *P* < 0.01. (b) The ZmMADS69 protein localizes to the nucleus in maize protoplasts (bars, 5 µm). A Ubi::ZmMADS69-GFP fusion construct driven by *Ubiquitin* promoter was used to assess protein localization (upper panel); Ubi::GFP was used as a control (lower panel). Green fluorescence (left), bright (middle), and overlay (right) images of maize protoplast cell were shown, respectively.

1:1 for siblings homozygous or heterozygous for the insertion allele grown at two Iowa locations during the summer of 2017. As shown in Figs 4f and S6, homozygous mutant plants flowered *c*. 3 d later than heterozygous siblings and were *c*. 7 cm taller.

Together the analyses of overexpression and Mu insertion alleles demonstrate that ZmMADS69 functions as a flowering activator and that this gene also has pleiotropic effects on multiple other agronomic traits.

# *ZmMADS69* functions through the *ZmRap2.7-ZCN8* regulatory module

To determine the subcellular localization of the ZmMADS69 protein, a fusion protein containing ZmMADS69 and enhanced green fluorescent protein (eGFP) under the control of the *Ubiquitin* promoter (Ubi::ZmMADS69–eGFP) was constructed



**Fig. 4** Functional validation of *ZmMADS69*. (a) Gross morphologies of wildtype (WT) and three overexpression lines (OE#1, OE#2 and OE#3). The 10<sup>th</sup> leaf on each plant is indicated by a white arrow. (b) *ZmMADS69* expression levels in T<sub>1</sub> transgenic families. In each T<sub>1</sub> family, transgene-positive plants (OE, n = 4) and transgene-negative (WT, n = 4) plants were randomly selected for expression assays. (c) Days to anthesis (DTA) of *ZmMADS69* transgene-positive (OE) and transgene-negative (WT) plants. (d) *ZmMADS69* mutant containing a *Mu* transposon insertion in the first intron of *ZmMADS69*. Gray boxes represent the 5' and 3' UTRs, orange boxes represent coding sequence region, while black lines represent introns. (e) *ZmMADS69* expression levels in the mature leaves of homozygous mutants (n = 3) and heterozygous siblings (n = 3). (f) DTA of homozygous mutants and heterozygous siblings grown near Ames and Boone, Iowa. Reported values represent the mean  $\pm$  standard deviation; *P*-values were determined using Student's *t*-test: \*\*, P < 0.01 (b, c, e, f).

and introduced into epidermal cells of *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaves and maize protoplasts. GFP fluorescence was localized to the nucleus (Figs 3b, S7), supporting a role for *ZmMADS69* as a nuclear transcription factor.

To understand the function of *ZmMADS69* in the maize flowering pathway, we sequenced the transcriptomes of mature leaves from *ZmMADS69* transgene-positive and negative plants derived from OE#2 (Figs S8, S9). A total of 2292 genes exhibited significant expression differences between *ZmMADS69* transgenepositive and negative plants (fold change  $\geq 2$ , FDR < 0.01; Fig. 5a; Table S5). Interestingly, several known maize flowering genes, including *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8*, were among the differentially expressed genes (Fig. 5a; Table S5), and their expression differences were validated by qRT-PCR analysis (Fig. 5b).

ZCN8, which encodes florigen and is required for floral induction in maize (Lazakis *et al.*, 2011; Meng *et al.*, 2011), was significantly upregulated in ZmMADS69 overexpressed plants compared with the level in ZmMADS69 transgene-negative plants (Fig. 5a,b). We further examined ZCN8 expression in the two NILs for qDTA3-2. Consistent with the RNA-seq results, NIL(W22), which flowered significantly earlier than NIL(8759), exhibited higher ZCN8 expression (Fig. 5c). ZmRap2.7 is an AP2 transcription factor that functions as a flowering repressor in maize (Salvi *et al.*, 2007; Dong *et al.*, 2012). We found that ZmRap2.7 expression was significantly downregulated in plants overexpressing ZmMADS69 (Fig. 5a,b). Consistent with this result, NIL(W22) exhibited lower expression of ZmRap2.7 than NIL(8759) (Fig. 5c). Taken together, these results indicate that ZmMADS69 functions upstream of ZCN8 and ZmRap2.7.

To further determine the regulatory relationships among *ZmMADS69*, *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8*, we produced knockout lines for *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8* using CRISPR/Cas9 (Doudna & Charpentier, 2014; Belhaj *et al.*, 2015) (Figs S10, S11). Compared with wildtype plants, *ZmRap2.7* knockout plants flowered *c*. 2.6 d earlier (Fig. S12), whereas *ZCN8* knockout plants flowered *c*. 12 d later (Fig. S13), results which are consistent with previously published findings on the functions of *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8* (Salvi *et al.*, 2007; Meng *et al.*, 2011). We examined the expression levels of *ZmMADS69*, *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8* in the *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8* knockout lines. *ZCN8* expression was

New Phytologist



**Fig. 5** *ZmMADS*69 functions through the *ZmRap2.7-ZCN8* regulatory module. (a) Volcano plot of significantly differentially expressed genes between *ZmMADS*69 transgene-positive and transgene-negative plants. Positive and negative values on the *x*-axis indicate upregulated and downregulated genes, respectively, in *ZmMADS*69 transgene-positive plants compared with transgene-negative plants. The light blue points represent differentially expressed genes (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.01, fold change  $\geq$  2). (b) Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) assay of *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8* expression levels in mature leaves of *ZmMADS*69 transgene-positive (*n* = 4) and transgene-negative plants (*n* = 4) derived from OE#2. (c) Expression levels of *ZmRap2.7* and *ZCN8* in mature leaves of near-isogenic lines (NILs) carrying contrasting alleles of *qDTA3-2* (*n* = 5). (d) Expression levels of *ZmMADS*69 and *ZCN8* in *ZmRap2.7* knockout line (*n* = 3) and nontransgene wildtype (WT, *n* = 3). (e) The expression levels of *ZmMADS*69 and *ZmRap2.7* in *ZCN8* knockout lines (*n* = 4) and nontransgene WT (*n* = 4) control. (f) Proposed model for the function of *ZmMADS*69 in the maize flowering pathway. Reported values represent the mean ± standard deviation; *P*-values were determined using Student's *t*-test: \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*, *P* < 0.05; ns, not significant (b–e).

significantly upregulated in ZmRap2.7 knockout plants compared with wildtype plants (Fig. 5d). However, the expression of ZmMADS69 was not altered in these plants (Fig. 5d). Hence, these results suggested that ZmRap2.7 functions downstream of ZmMADS69 but upstream of ZCN8. The ZmMADS69 and ZmRap2.7 genes exhibited similar expression levels in ZCN8 knockout and wild-type plants (Fig. 5e). Additionally, we also examined ZmMADS69 and ZmRap2.7 expression in the two NILs for ZCN8 (Guo et al., 2018) and no significant differences in expression were observed between the two NILs (Fig. S14). These results indicated that ZCN8 functions downstream of ZmRap2.7 and ZmMADS69. Given these results, we propose the ZmMADS69-ZmRap2.7-ZCN8 regulatory module, wherein ZmMADS69 represses ZmRap2.7 expression, which in turn relieves the repression of ZCN8 and, as a result, promotes flowering (Fig. 5f). However, the possibility of ZmMADS69 functioning in other pathways cannot be excluded given its broad expression across various tissues and significant impact on the expression of numerous genes.

Interestingly, aside from ZCN8, the expression levels of eight additional FT-like genes, including ZCN7, ZCN12, ZCN15, ZCN16, ZCN18, ZCN24, ZCN25 and ZCN26, were also significantly altered in plants overexpressing ZmMADS69 (Fig. 5a;

Table S5). It has been shown that ZCN7, a ZCN8 paralog, might be a second maize florigen gene (Mascheretti *et al.*, 2015). Recently, Minow *et al.* (2018) reported that six *FT*-like genes, including ZCN7, ZCN8, ZCN12, ZCN15, ZCN18 and ZCN26, were also differentially expressed at the floral transition stage in mature teosinte leaves between inductive short-day conditions and noninductive night-break conditions (Minow *et al.*, 2018). These results suggest that these *FT*-like genes may play important roles in mediating maize flowering. However, their specific roles need to be elucidated.

# *ZmMADS69-ZmRap2.7-ZCN8* contributes to maize flowering time adaptation

From its tropical origin in southwestern Mexico, maize has expanded broadly into temperate zones, a process which required flowering time adaptation. Given its regulatory importance in flowering time, we speculated that the *ZmMADS69-ZmRap2.7-ZCN8* regulatory module might have been targeted by selection as maize spread to higher latitudes. A miniature transposon (MITE) located *c.* 70-kb upstream of *ZmRap2.7* is the causative variant of *Vgt1*, a major maize flowering time QTL (Salvi *et al.*, 2007; Castelletti *et al.*,



**Fig. 6** Evidence of selection at *ZmMADS69*. (a) Analysis of HapMap 3 data revealed that the 5' regulatory region of *ZmMADS69* exhibited very low nucleotide diversity ( $\pi$ ) in maize relative to teosinte. The green and orange curves represent the nucleotide diversity of teosinte and maize surrounding *ZmMADS69*, respectively. The red box on x-axis indicates the resequenced region in diverse maize and teosinte lines. (b) Resequencing analysis and coalescence simulations validated that the 5' regulatory region of *ZmMADS69* was targeted by selection. *P*-values were determined using coalescence simulations: \*, *P* < 0.05. (c, d) Comparison of *ZmMADS69* expression levels between tropical and temperate inbred lines in the apex based on data from Lin *et al.* (2017) (c), and the tip of the third leaf based on data from Kremling *et al.* (2018) (d).

2014). The frequency of this MITE insertion exhibited a strong correlation with elevation and latitude, indicating a key role of Vgt1 in maize alti-latitudinal adaptation (Ducrocq et al., 2008). We recently showed that two natural cis-variants in the promoter of ZCN8 were targeted by selection in a stepwise manner and played distinct roles as maize spread from its tropical origin to temperate zones (Guo et al., 2018). To determine whether ZmMADS69 was also a target of selection. we analyzed the nucleotide diversity around ZmMADS69 using the third-generation Zea mays haplotype map (HapMap 3) containing ultra-high-density SNPs across maize genome (Bukowski et al., 2018). Notably, the promoter region of ZmMADS69 exhibited obvious reduction of nucleotide diversity in maize as compared with teosinte (Fig. 6a). To further verify this result, we resequenced six fragments across the gene body region of ZmMADS69 and one c. 1-kb fragment from the promoter region of ZmMADS69 in a diverse panel of 28 maize inbred lines and 17 teosinte accessions (Zea mays ssp. parviglumis) (Fig. S15; Table S4). Because the first intron of ZmMADS69 is 23.5-kb long, two portions of it were selected for sequencing. We calculated the amount of nucleotide diversity retained in maize relative to teosinte in each sequenced region. Across the six fragments in the gene body of ZmMADS69, maize on average retained 47-73% of the nucleotide diversity of teosinte. By contrast, in the sequenced promoter fragment, maize retained only 18.4% of the nucleotide diversity of teosinte (Figs 6b, S15). Coalescent simulations that incorporate the domestication bottleneck (Eyre-Walker et al., 1998; Tian et al., 2009) detected a significant deviation from expectation under a neutral domestication bottleneck for the promoter fragment (Figs 6b, S15), indicating that the 5' regulatory region of ZmMADS69 was affected by selection. Because increasing

ZmMADS69 expression promotes flowering, the selection at the 5' regulatory region may cause upregulation of ZmMADS69 expression as maize spread into temperate environments. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the expression difference between temperate and tropical maize inbred lines from our association panel. Consistent with our hypothesis, temperate lines exhibited higher ZmMADS69 expression than tropical lines in both apex and leaf tip tissues (Fig. 6c,d). These results indicated that ZmMADS69 may have been a target of selection in the adaptation of maize to temperate regions. Taken together, we conclude that the ZmMADS69-ZmRap2.7-ZCN8 regulatory module was targeted by selection and may therefore have played an important role in the adaptation of maize to higher latitudes.

In conclusion, we report the identification and characterization of ZmMADS69, which underlies an important QTL controlling the difference in flowering time between maize and its wild ancestor, teosinte. Increased expression of ZmMADS69 is significantly associated with earlier flowering in natural populations. We demonstrated that ZmMADS69 is a constitutive flowering activator by downregulating the expression of ZmRap2.7, thereby relieving repression of ZCN8, resulting in earlier flowering. Finally, we provide evidence that the entire ZmMADS69-ZmRap2.7-ZCN8 regulatory module was targeted by selection for flowering time during the expansion of maize from the tropics.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank John Doebley (Department of Genetics, University of Wisconsin), Xiaohong Yang (National Maize Improvement Center of China, China Agricultural University), the USDA National Plant Germplasm System and the Maize Genetics COOP Stock Center for providing the teosinte materials and the UniformMu mutant, respectively. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31771806 and 91535108), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFD0100303 and 2016YFD0100404), the Recruitment Program of Global Experts and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

## **Author contributions**

YL, QL, XW, PSS and FT designed the research; YL, QL, XW, CH, SH, SL, H Y L, and CL performed molecular experiments; YL, QL, GX, H-Y L, DX, LW, CW, WW, JX and XH collected phenotypic data; JL and WS performed transgenic experiments; YL, QL, XW, PSS and FT analyzed data and wrote the manuscript; All authors read and approved the final manuscript. YL, QL and XW contributed equally to this work.

## ORCID

Xu Han b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2702-2520 Stefan Hey b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0416-8893 Yameng Liang b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0104-0413 Hung-Ying Lin b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3921-3946 Qiang Liu b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9402-0226 Patrick S. Schnable b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9169-5204 Feng Tian b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3552-4536 Guanghui Xu b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7986-7077 Xufeng Wang b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9345-827X

# References

- Abe M, Kobayashi Y, Yamamoto S, Daimon Y, Yamaguchi A, Ikeda Y, Ichinoki H, Notaguchi M, Goto K, Araki T. 2005. FD, a bZIP protein mediating signals from the floral pathway integrator FT at the shoot apex. *Science* **309**: 1052–1056.
- Alter P, Bircheneder S, Zhou LZ, Schluter U, Gahrtz M, Sonnewald U, Dresselhaus T. 2016. Flowering time-regulated genes in maize include the transcription factor *ZmMADS1*. *Plant Physiology* 172: 389–404.
- Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. 2015. HTSeq-a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31: 166–169.
- Austin DF, Lee M. 1996. Genetic resolution and verification of quantitative trait loci for flowering and plant height with recombinant inbred lines of maize. *Genome* 39: 957–968.
- Belhaj K, Chaparro-Garcia A, Kamoun S, Patron NJ, Nekrasov V. 2015. Editing plant genomes with CRISPR/Cas9. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 32: 76–84.
- **Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995.** Controlling the false discovery rate a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological* **57**: 289–300.
- Blümel M, Dally N, Jung C. 2015. Flowering time regulation in crops-what did we learn from Arabidopsis? *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* 32: 121–129.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30: 2114–2120.
- Briggs WH, McMullen MD, Gaut BS, Doebley J. 2007. Linkage mapping of domestication loci in a large maize teosinte backcross resource. *Genetics* 177: 1915–1928.
- Buckler ES, Holland JB, Bradbury PJ, Acharya CB, Brown PJ, Browne C, Ersoz E, Flint-Garcia S, Garcia A, Glaubitz JC *et al.* 2009. The genetic architecture of maize flowering time. *Science* 325: 714–718.

- Bukowski R, Guo X, Lu Y, Zou C, He B, Rong Z, Wang B, Xu D, Yang B, Xie C *et al.* 2018. Construction of the third-generation *Zea mays* haplotype map. *Gigascience* 7: 1–12.
- Castelletti S, Tuberosa R, Pindo M, Salvi S. 2014. A MITE transposon insertion is associated with differential methylation at the maize flowering time QTL *Vgt1. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics* 4: 805–812.
- Chardon F, Virlon B, Moreau L, Falque M, Joets J, Decousset L, Murigneux A, Charcosset A. 2004. Genetic architecture of flowering time in maize as inferred from quantitative trait loci meta-analysis and synteny conservation with the rice genome. *Genetics* 168: 2169–2185.
- **Colasanti J, Yuan Z, Sundaresan V. 1998.** The *indeterminate* gene encodes a zinc finger protein and regulates a leaf-generated signal required for the transition to flowering in maize. *Cell* **93**: 593–603.
- Coles ND, McMullen MD, Balint-Kurti PJ, Pratt RC, Holland JB. 2010. Genetic control of photoperiod sensitivity in maize revealed by joint multiple population analysis. *Genetics* 184: 799–812.
- Danilevskaya ON, Meng X, Selinger DA, Deschamps S, Hermon P, Vansant G, Gupta R, Ananiev EV, Muszynski MG. 2008. Involvement of the MADS-box gene ZMM4 in floral induction and inflorescence development in maize. *Plant Physiology* 147: 2054–2069.
- **Doebley J. 2004.** The genetics of maize evolution. *Annual Review of Genetics* **38**: 37–59.
- Dong Z, Danilevskaya O, Abadie T, Messina C, Coles N, Cooper M. 2012. A gene regulatory network model for floral transition of the shoot apex in maize and its dynamic modeling. *PLoS ONE7*: e43450.
- Doudna JA, Charpentier E. 2014. Genome editing. The new frontier of genome engineering with CRISPR-Cas9. *Science* 346: 1258096.
- Ducrocq S, Giauffret C, Madur D, Combes V, Dumas F, Jouanne S, Coubriche D, Jamin P, Moreau L, Charcosset A. 2009. Fine mapping and haplotype structure analysis of a major flowering time quantitative trait locus on maize chromosome 10. *Genetics* 183: 1555–1563.
- Ducrocq S, Madur D, Veyrieras JB, Camus-Kulandaivelu L, Kloiber-Maitz M, Presterl T, Ouzunova M, Manicacci D, Charcosset A. 2008. Key impact of *Vgt1* on flowering time adaptation in maize: evidence from association mapping and ecogeographical information. *Genetics* 178: 2433–2437.
- Eyre-Walker A, Gaut RL, Hilton H, Feldman DL, Gaut BS. 1998. Investigation of the bottleneck leading to the domestication of maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 95: 4441–4446.
- Guo L, Wang X, Zhao M, Huang C, Li C, Li D, Yang C, York AM, Xue W, Xu G *et al.* 2018. Stepwise *cis*-regulatory changes in *ZCN8* contribute to maize flowering time adaptation. *Current Biology* 28: 3005–3015.
- Hall TA. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95–98.
- Hirsch CN, Foerster JM, Johnson JM, Sekhon RS, Muttoni G, Vaillancourt B, Penagaricano F, Lindquist E, Pedraza MA, Barry K *et al.* 2014. Insights into the maize pan-genome and pan-transcriptome. *Plant Cell* 26: 121–135.
- Huang C, Chen Q, Xu G, Xu D, Tian J, Tian F. 2016. Identification and fine mapping of quantitative trait loci for the number of vascular bundle in maize stem. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 58: 81–90.
- Huang C, Sun H, Xu D, Chen Q, Liang Y, Wang X, Xu G, Tian J, Wang C, Li D *et al.* 2018. *ZmCCT9* enhances maize adaptation to higher latitudes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 115: E334–E341.
- Hudson RR. 2002. Generating samples under a Wright–Fisher neutral model of genetic variation. *Bioinformatics* 18: 337–338.
- Hung HY, Shannon LM, Tian F, Bradbury PJ, Chen C, Flint-Garcia SA, McMullen MD, Ware D, Buckler ES, Doebley JF *et al.* 2012. *ZmCCT* and the genetic basis of day-length adaptation underlying the postdomestication spread of maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 109: E1913–E1921.
- Irish EE, Nelson TM. 1991. Identification of multiple stages in the conversion of maize meristems from vegetative to floral development. *Development* 112: 891– 898.
- Ishida Y, Hiei Y, Komari T. 2007. *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of maize. *Nature Protocols* 2: 1614–1621.

Jin M, Liu X, Jia W, Liu H, Li W, Peng Y, Du Y, Wang Y, Yin Y, Zhang X et al. 2018. ZmCOL3, a CCT gene represses flowering in maize by interfering with the circadian clock and activating expression of ZmCCT. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 60: 465–480.

Kremling KAG, Chen SY, Su MH, Lepak NK, Romay MC, Swarts KL, Lu F, Lorant A, Bradbury PJ, Buckler ES. 2018. Dysregulation of expression correlates with rare-allele burden and fitness loss in maize. *Nature* 555: 520– 523.

Kuleshov NN. 1933. World's diversity of phenotypes of maize. *Journal of the American Society of Agronomy* 25: 688–700.

Kumar R, Subba A, Kaur C, Ariyadasa TU, Sharan A, Pareek A, Sopory SK, Singla-Pareek SL. 2018. OsCBSCBSPB4 is a two cystathionine-β-synthase domain-containing protein from rice that functions in abiotic stress tolerance. *Current Genomics* 19: 50–59.

Kushwaha HR, Singh AK, Sopory SK, Singla-Pareek SL, Pareek A. 2009. Genome wide expression analysis of CBS domain containing proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh and *Oryza sativa* L. reveals their developmental and stress regulation. *BMC Genomics* 10: 200.

Lazakis CM, Coneva V, Colasanti J. 2011. ZCN8 encodes a potential orthologue of *Arabidopsis* FT florigen that integrates both endogenous and photoperiod flowering signals in maize. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 62: 4833–4842.

Li D, Wang X, Zhang X, Chen Q, Xu G, Xu D, Wang C, Liang Y, Wu L, Huang C *et al.* 2016. The genetic architecture of leaf number and its genetic relationship to flowering time in maize. *New Phytologist* 210: 256–268.

Li YX, Li C, Bradbury PJ, Liu X, Lu F, Romay CM, Glaubitz JC, Wu X, Peng B, Shi Y *et al.* 2016. Identification of genetic variants associated with maize flowering time using an extremely large multi-genetic background population. *The Plant Journal* 86: 391–402.

Librado P, Rozas J. 2009. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA polymorphism data. *Bioinformatics* 25: 1451–1452.

Lin HY, Liu Q, Li X, Yang J, Liu S, Huang Y, Scanlon MJ, Nettleton D, Schnable PS. 2017. Substantial contribution of genetic variation in the expression of transcription factors to phenotypic variation revealed by eRD-GWAS. *Genome Biology* 18: 192.

Mascheretti I, Turner K, Brivio RS, Hand A, Colasanti J, Rossi V. 2015. Florigen-encoding genes of day-neutral and photoperiod-sensitive maize are regulated by different chromatin modifications at the floral transition. *Plant Physiology* **168**: 1351–1363.

Matsuoka Y, Vigouroux Y, Goodman MM, Sanchez GJ, Buckler E, Doebley J. 2002. A single domestication for maize shown by multilocus microsatellite genotyping. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 99: 6080– 6084.

McCarty DR, Settles AM, Suzuki M, Tan BC, Latshaw S, Porch T, Robin K, Baier J, Avigne W, Lai J. 2005. Steady-state transposon mutagenesis in inbred maize. *The Plant Journal* 44: 52–61.

Meng X, Muszynski MG, Danilevskaya ON. 2011. The *FT*-like ZCN8 gene functions as a floral activator and is involved in photoperiod sensitivity in maize. *Plant Cell* 23: 942–960.

Minow MAA, Avila LM, Turner K, Ponzoni E, Mascheretti I, Dussault FM, Lukens L, Rossi V, Colasanti J. 2018. Distinct gene networks modulate floral induction of autonomous maize and photoperiod-dependent teosinte. *Journal* of Experimental Botany 69: 2937–2952.

Mou S, Shi L, Lin W, Liu Y, Shen L, Guan D, He S. 2015. Over-expression of rice CBS domain containing protein, OsCBSX3, Confers rice resistance to Magnaporthe oryzae inoculation. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 16: 15903–15917.

Muszynski MG, Dam T, Li B, Shirbroun DM, Hou ZL, Bruggemann E, Archibald R, Ananiev EV, Danilevskaya ON. 2006. *Delayed flowering1* encodes a basic leucine zipper protein that mediates floral inductive signals at the shoot apex in maize. *Plant Physiology* 142: 1523–1536.

Pertea M, Kim D, Pertea GM, Leek JT, Salzberg SL. 2016. Transcript-level expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with HISAT, StringTie and Ballgown. *Nature Protocols* 11: 1650–1667.

Pfeifer B, Wittelsburger U, Ramos-Onsins SE, Lercher MJ. 2014. PopGenome: an efficient Swiss army knife for population genomic analyses in R. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 31: 1929–1936.

- Rapaport F, Khanin R, Liang Y, Pirun M, Krek A, Zumbo P, Mason CE, Socci ND, Betel D. 2013. Comprehensive evaluation of differential gene expression analysis methods for RNA-seq data. *Genome Biology* 14: R95.
- Ribaut JM, Hoisington DA, Deutsch JA, Jiang C, Gonzalez-de-Leon D. 1996. Identification of quantitative trait loci under drought conditions in tropical maize. 1. Flowering parameters and the anthesis-silking interval. *Theoretical* and Applied Genetics 92: 905–914.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26: 139–140.

Romay MC, Millard MJ, Glaubitz JC, Peiffer JA, Swarts KL, Casstevens TM, Elshire RJ, Acharya CB, Mitchell SE, Flint-Garcia SA *et al.* 2013. Comprehensive genotyping of the USA national maize inbred seed bank. *Genome Biology* 14: R55.

Romero Navarro JA, Willcox M, Burgueno J, Romay C, Swarts K, Trachsel S, Preciado E, Terron A, Delgado HV, Vidal V *et al.* 2017. A study of allelic diversity underlying flowering-time adaptation in maize landraces. *Nature Genetics* 49: 476–480.

Salvi S, Corneti S, Bellotti M, Carraro N, Sanguineti MC, Castelletti S, Tuberosa R. 2011. Genetic dissection of maize phenology using an intraspecific introgression library. *BMC Plant Biology* 11: 4.

Salvi S, Sponza G, Morgante M, Tomes D, Niu X, Fengler KA, Meeley R, Ananiev EV, Svitashev S, Bruggemann E et al. 2007. Conserved noncoding genomic sequences associated with a flowering-time quantitative trait locus in maize. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 104: 11376–11381.

Salvi S, Tuberosa R, Chiapparino E, Maccaferri M, Veillet S, van Beuningen L, Isaac P, Edwards K, Phillips RL. 2002. Toward positional cloning of Vgt1, a QTL controlling the transition from the vegetative to the reproductive phase in maize. *Plant Molecular Biology* 48: 601–613.

Schmittgen TD, Livak KJ. 2008. Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. *Nature Protocols* 3: 1101–1108.

Schnable PS, Ware D, Fulton RS, Stein JC, Wei F, Pasternak S, Liang C, Zhang J, Fulton L, Graves TA et al. 2009. The B73 maize genome: complexity, diversity, and dynamics. Science 326: 1112–1115.

Settles AM, Holding DR, Tan BC, Latshaw SP, Liu J, Suzuki M, Li L, O'Brien BA, Fajardo DS, Wroclawska E *et al.* 2007. Sequence-indexed mutations in maize using the UniformMu transposon-tagging population. *BMC Genomics* 8: 116.

Shrestha R, Gomez-Ariza J, Brambilla V, Fornara F. 2014. Molecular control of seasonal flowering in rice, *Arabidopsis* and temperate cereals. *Annals of Botany* 114: 1445–1458.

Singh AK, Kumar R, Pareek A, Sopory SK, Singla-Pareek SL. 2012. Overexpression of rice CBS domain containing protein improves salinity, oxidative, and heavy metal tolerance in transgenic tobacco. *Molecular Biotechnology* 52: 205–216.

Smaczniak C, Immink RG, Angenent GC, Kaufmann K. 2012. Developmental and evolutionary diversity of plant MADS-domain factors: insights from recent studies. *Development* 139: 3081–3098.

Steinhoff J, Liu W, Reif JC, Della Porta G, Ranc N, Wurschum T. 2012. Detection of QTL for flowering time in multiple families of elite maize. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **125**: 1539–1551.

Tenaillon MI, U'Ren J, Tenaillon O, Gaut BS. 2004. Selection versus demography: a multilocus investigation of the domestication process in maize. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 21: 1214–1225.

Tian F, Stevens NM, Buckler ESt. 2009. Tracking footprints of maize domestication and evidence for a massive selective sweep on chromosome 10. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA 106(Suppl 1): 9979–9986.

Wang X, Chen Q, Wu Y, Lemmon ZH, Xu G, Huang C, Liang Y, Xu D, Li D, Doebley JF et al. 2018. Genome-wide analysis of transcriptional variability in a large maize-teosinte population. *Molecular Plant* 11: 443–459.

Wigge PA, Kim MC, Jaeger KE, Busch W, Schmid M, Lohmann JU, Weigel D. 2005. Integration of spatial and temporal information during floral induction in *Arabidopsis. Science* 309: 1056–1059.

- Wright SI, Bi IV, Schroeder SG, Yamasaki M, Doebley JF, McMullen MD, Gaut BS. 2005. The effects of artificial selection on the maize genome. *Science* 308: 1310–1314.
- Xing HL, Dong L, Wang ZP, Zhang HY, Han CY, Liu B, Wang XC, Chen QJ. 2014. A CRISPR/Cas9 toolkit for multiplex genome editing in plants. *BMC Plant Biology* 14: 327.
- Xu DY, Wang XF, Huang C, Xu GH, Liang YM, Chen QY, Wang CL, Li D, Tian JG, Wu LS et al. 2017. Glossy15 plays an important role in the divergence of the vegetative transition between maize and its progenitor, teosinte. *Molecular Plant* 10: 1579–1583.
- Xu G, Wang X, Huang C, Xu D, Li D, Tian J, Chen Q, Wang C, Liang Y, Wu Y et al. 2017. Complex genetic architecture underlies maize tassel domestication. New Phytologist 214: 852–864.
- Yang Q, Li Z, Li W, Ku L, Wang C, Ye J, Li K, Yang N, Li Y, Zhong T et al. 2013. CACTA-like transposable element in *ZmCCT* attenuated photoperiod sensitivity and accelerated the postdomestication spread of maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 110: 16969– 16974.
- Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J. 2007. *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. *Nature Protocols* 2: 1565–1572.

### **Supporting Information**

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article:

Fig. S1 Phenotypic comparisons between NIL(maize) and NIL (8759).

Fig. S2 Protein sequences of ZmMADS69 in B73, W22 and 8759.

**Fig. S3** The relationship between *ZmMADS69* expression and flowering time in 265 diverse maize inbred lines.

**Fig. S4** Phenotypic comparisons between *ZmMADS69* transgene-positive and transgene-negative  $T_2$  plants under long days.

**Fig. S5** Phenotypic comparisons between *ZmMADS69* transgene-positive and transgene-negative  $T_1$  plants under short days.

Fig. S6 Phenotypic comparisons between ZmMADS69 homozygous mutants and heterozygous siblings planted at Ames and Boone. Fig. S7 Subcellular localization of ZmMADS69 in *Nicotiana benthamiana* leaf epidermal cells.

Fig. S8 Summary of RNA-seq reads mapping.

**Fig. S9** Clustering and multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis of experimental samples used in RNA-seq.

Fig. S10 Knockout line of *ZmRap2.7* by CRISPR/Cas9.

Fig. S11 Knockout lines of ZCN8 by CRISPR/Cas9.

**Fig. S12** Flowering time comparison between wildtype and *ZmRap2.7* knockout line.

Fig. S13 Flowering time comparison between wildtype and ZCN8 knock out lines.

**Fig. S14** Expression analyses of *ZmMADS69* and *ZmRap2.7* in mature leaves of NILs for *ZCN8*.

**Fig. S15** Nucleotide diversity  $(\pi)$  across the region of *ZmMADS69* gene body in diverse maize and teosinte lines.

Table S1 Fine mapping in Sanya, 2015.

Table S2 Fine mapping in Sanya, 2016.

Table S3 Molecular markers used in this study.

**Table S4** Maize and teosinte materials used to sequence the frag-ments around ZmMADS69.

**Table S5** Differentially expressed genes between the*ZmMADS69* transgene-positive and transgene-negative plants.

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any Supporting Information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.